

Research Journal of Pharmaceutical, Biological and Chemical Sciences

Isolation and Identification of Sponge-Associated Fungus Producing Anti Multidrug-resistant (MDR) Bacterial Substances.

Agus Trianto^{1,2*}, Ocky Karna Radjasa^{1,2}, Rudhi Pribadi¹ Sekar Widyaningsih,
^{3,4} Khoeruddin Witriansyah,^{3,5} Isei Yusidharta⁶, Wiratno⁶, and Ita Riniatsih¹.

¹Marine Science Department, Faculty of Fisheries and Marine Science, Diponegoro University, Semarang

²Integrated Laboratory, Diponegoro University

³Master Program of Marine Science, Faculty of Fisheries and Marine Science, Diponegoro University, Semarang

⁴Faculty of Technique and Marine Science, Hang Tuah University

⁵Department of Engineering, Cilacap National Polytechnique

⁶Natural Resources Conservation Center East Nusa Tenggara) (BBKSDA Nusa Tenggara Timur)

ABSTRACT

Marine sponges are rich sources of bioactive substances with various pharmacological activities. Previous studies have shown that most bioactive compounds were originally produced by associated-microorganisms. In our study on marine pharmaceuticals, we collected 19 sponge specimens from Riung water, Nusa Tenggara Timur, Indonesia. A total 33 fungi isolates were isolated from the sponges. Then, the isolates were screened for antibacterial activity using overlay method against the MRSA and MDR *E. coli*. Then, the active isolates were cultured on malt extract broth medium to provide the crude extract that tested against the MRSA and *E. Coli* using. One isolate, an associated of the sponge *Agelas* sp. showed to be a most promising fungus. The isolate was determined based on morphological characteristics and genetic analyses as *Fusarium solani*. The extract of the isolate has a stronger activity to *E. coli* and *S. aureus* than the activity of the chloramphenicol. It can be concluded that the isolate has potential as a new source of antibacterial compounds.

Keywords. *Fungus, Fusarium solani, Staphylococcus aureus, Escherichia coli, Sponges*

*Corresponding author

INTRODUCTION

Marine sponges have been shown to be a rich source of antibacterial compounds with a potential for pharmaceutical applications. Naturally, the compounds have ecological properties for sponge survival, such as repelling predators[1], depressing competitors[2], and disinfecting pathogens[3]. Some of the compounds showed pharmacological potency as antitumor[4], antibacterial[5], antifungal[6], and anti-inflammatory[7], antimalarial[8]. However, only a few of the bioactive compounds passed to the pre-clinical and clinical testing stage[9], as they are highly sourced limited[10]. Collecting a large amount of a particular compound from nature will inevitably disturb the local ecology. Chemical synthetics are the preferred method for producing the bioactive compounds, however, in many cases, this method is not feasible due to the complexity and chirality of the target compounds[11].

Sponges are well known to contain a lot of microorganisms within the mesophyll. In some cases, bacteria contribute up to 40% of the sponge biomass and appear to be permanently associated with the host sponges[12]. It is presumed that microorganisms play an important role, such as providing food, bioactive compounds, or their precursors to the host[13]. Several studies indicated that some metabolites were produced by associated microorganisms[14].

In this paper, we describe the isolation and identification of the sponge-associated fungus producing anti-MDR bacteria from a marine sponge collected from Riung Water, East Nusa Tenggara, Indonesia.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sponge sample collection: The marine sponges were collected from the TjupjuhBelasPulau, Riung, East Nusa Tenggara, Indonesia by SCUBA diving at 3-15 m depth (Figure 1). The specimens were kept cool until the inoculation process[15].



Figure 1. Collection site of the marine sponges KN-19 in Riung, East Nusa Tenggara, Indonesia (Map Source: Google Map).

Isolation of the associate fungus: Isolation of the fungi from the sponges was conducted using the method proposed by Subramani *et al.* (2013)[16] with modifying on the sponge size and media. The sponges were washed with sterilized sea water before inoculation process to remove any associated microorganisms from their surface. Each specimen was then cut into small pieces (approx. 1 cm x 1 cm x 0.3 cm). The fungus was isolated by putting each piece of each sponge directly on the surface of malt extract agar (MEA) medium; one agar plate for each sample to avoid contamination and confusion regarding the source of fungus. After incubation for three days, the fungus colonies were separated based on their morphological characteristics, and each colony was inoculated on a new agar plate containing MEA. This process was repeated until a pure sample of each isolate was obtained.

Screening for antagonistic potential: Initial screening was conducted using the overlay method from [17]. The assay was conducted on an MEA medium against the multidrug-resistant (MDR) *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Escherichia coli* isolated from Karyadi Hospital, Semarang, Central Java, Indonesia. The *S. aureus* resistant to several commercial antibiotics such as kanamycin, gentamycin, amikacin, ampicillin, oxacillin, imipenem, penicillin, amoxicillin clavulanic acid, erythromycin, ceftriaxone, and ciprofloxacin. The *E. coli* resistant to ampicillin, penicillin, amoxicillin clavulanic acid, erythromycin, ceftriaxone, trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole, vancomycin, ciprofloxacin, and nalidixic acid.

The fungal isolates were grown on MEA and incubated for 24 hours. The antagonistic bacteria *S. aureus* and *E. coli* were grown in broth ZoBell 2216E medium. After a 24-hour incubation period, 1 ml of each antagonistic bacteria suspension were diluted in 99 ml of soft agar ZoBell 2216E. The soft agar was poured onto the MEA medium containing the fungal isolates and incubated for 24 to 48 hours depending on the fungus species. The inhibition zone indicated that isolates were active against the antagonistic bacteria (the data are not shown in this paper).

Sponge Identification: The sponge host of the isolates producing anti-MDR bacteria was identified based on morphological and spicules characteristics according to a method proposed by [18]. The features were examined for morphological characteristics including colony shape, color, texture, consistency, and surface type. For the spicules preparations, a small fragment of the sponge was placed in a small Erlenmeyer flask, then a small quantity of commercial bleach (sodium hypochlorite) was added. After the organic components dissolved leaving only the mineral skeleton, the bleach was diluted with ethanol and removed carefully. The washing process was repeated until the clean spicules were obtained. The clean spicules suspension was transferred onto a glass slide and observed and photographed at 100x and 400x magnification using a microscope equipped with a digital camera.

Extraction of the cultured isolate: The pure isolate that active against the *S. aureus* and *E. coli* were cultured in 1 L malt extract broth (MEB) medium for seven days or until the maximum growth at ambient temperature. The medium was filtered to obtain the fungal mycelium and was then extracted with methanol at room temperature. The solvent was filtered using Whatman filter paper, and the filtrate was concentrated using rotary evaporator under a vacuum to obtain the methanolic extract [19].

Bioassay of the methanolic extracts: The extracts were tested for the *S. aureus* and *E. coli* using the disk diffusion agar method at concentrations of 400, 200, 100, 50 and 25 µg/disk with triplicates. The antagonistic bacteria were inoculated on MEA medium and incubated for two hours before setting the paper disks containing the methanolic extract on the medium agar surface. The inhibition zones were measured after 24 and 48 hours as an indicator for the activity of the extracts.

Characterization of potential KN19-1 isolate

Phenotypic characterization: The potential isolate was grown on MEA medium to examine and photograph the morphological characteristics under a microscope. Isolate identification was conducted using a fungal taxonomical book and taxonomical guidance [20].

Molecular identification of the fungal using 18S rRNA gene analysis: The DNA isolate was extracted with Chelex following the instruction provided by the company (Bio-Rad) [21] (Walsh *et al.*, 1991).

In brief, the mycelia were added with 50 µL-100 µL aquabides (ddH₂O) and 1 mL of diluted saponin in PBS. The mixture was incubated for 24 hours in 4 °C before centrifugation at 13,000 rpm for 1 minute for supernatant separation. A 250 µl of 10% chelex was added to the DNA extract and homogenized under vortex for 20 seconds before centrifugation at 13,000 rpm for 2 minutes. Then, the mixture was heated at 95°C for 45 minutes and homogenized using vortex. Finally, the DNA extract of the isolate was obtained after removing the supernatant post centrifugation at 13,000 rpm for 2 minutes.

The DNA in the supernatant was extracted with isopropanol for further analyses with electrophoresis on the agarose gel. Electrophoresis was run at 100 volts using TAE (Tris-Acetate-EDTA) as a running buffer. Gels were observed under UV light after stained with ethidium bromide. Amplification PCR was conducted using

universal primer for 18s rRNA and sequenced on sequencer *BigDye Applied Biosystem* using the method described in by Handayono and Rudiretna (2000)[22]. Sequencing was conducted in MACROGEN Korea.

Analysis of sequence alignment of 18S rRNA gene and phylogenetic analysis: Phylogenetic analysis was conducted using BLASTn software provided by Gen Bank (NCBI), followed by alignment process using Clustal X software. The phylogenetic tree was developed using a MEGA version5 software.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

From Riung Water of Nusa Tenggara Timur Province-Indonesia (Figure 1.), a total of 19 sponges were collected that provided 33 fungal isolates. After antagonistic bioassay, the most active isolate, KN19-1, was chosen for further study[23]. The host sponge of the isolate was also identified based on morphological characteristics and spicules analyses.

The sponge KN19-1 had an orange fan shaped with a rounded or lobate edge. The sponge was compressible and tough (difficult to tear) with a porous "body" (Figure 2a and 2b). This species was found in coral reef ecosystems, usually attached to the hard substrate. Microscopic investigation of the spicules observed the presence of oxea and style (Figure 2c). Oxea and style are very common in the order of Agelasida sponge[24]. Evaluation of the morphological characteristics and spicules type depicted that the sponge KN19-1 belonged to genus *Agelas*. This conclusion also agrees with Hooper [18] who noted that sponge of Agelasida has fan-shaped, well developed spongin-fiber skeleton, with regular and irregular reticulation, fibers echinated by short styles or oxeas with verticillate spines; microscleres absent. Furthermore, the Agelasidae has lamellate characteristics, tubular or massive, often "honeycomb" reticulate construction; color frequently orange or red, texture extremely tough but compressible, reflecting the high ratio of spongin-fiber to spicule.




Figure 2 (a) In situ picture



Figure 2 (b): In the laboratory picture




figure 2 (c) 1. calthrop, 2. triod, 3. style

Sponges of the genus *Agelas* are a rich source of bioactive compounds. Among the compounds has various bioactivities, such as immune stimulant enzyme inhibitor [25], anti-fouling [26], and antimicrobial [27].

On MEA medium, the isolate had a white colony with whitish mycelium. Macroconidia were three to four septa on average and were slightly curved. Microconidia were abundant and canoe-shaped (Figure 3). The genomic DNA from KN19-1 isolate was amplified by PCR. This amplified gene product was used for identifying the fungal isolate. The consensus sequence of 560bp of 18S rRNA gene was generated from forward and reverse sequence data and searched through the BLAST algorithms of the NCBI website. The BLAST results revealed that the isolate KN19-1 showed 99% similarity with various isolates of *Fusarium solani* (GenBank accession no. HQ651165.1). The phylogenetic relationship of the KN19-1 isolate was also established with its 22 nearest neighbors (Figure 4) and the nearest identified fungal isolate with which its closest relationship was established belonged to *F. solani* isolate FSNGR147 (GenBank accession no. HQ651165.1). Hence, this KN19-1 isolate could be concluded as an isolate of *F. solani*. At present, the isolate was deposited in the GenBank with accession number LC091211.



Figure 3 (a): The fungus colony on MEA medium




Figure 3 (b): The microscope picture

No.	Isolate code	Nucleotide length	Genetic relationship (%)	Homology (%)	Access No (Blast NCBI)
1	KN19-1	511	<i>Fusarium solani</i> Isolate FSNGR147	99 %	HQ651165.1




Figure 4: Phylogenetic tree fungus KN-19 ClustalX

The genus *Fusarium* is a filamentous fungus causing diseases on animals and plants, toxin producers, and debris decomposers. *Fusarium solani* is a very common soil fungus and well known as a causal pathogen of many plant diseases, some of which have significant economic and ecological impacts. This fungus causes damping-off and root rot in seedling nurseries, the poor establishment of out-planted seedlings and root rot of mature plants [28]. *Fusarium solani* has been known to be a very persistent pathogen in the soil as it can form chlamydospores, and capable of surviving in infested soils for a long period [29]. The fungus can also survive as mycelium or spores in infected or dead tissues. The symptoms of the disease are indicated by black discolorations of the main root and lateral roots, beginning at or near the soil level. The above ground symptoms include a yellowing of the leaves from the lowest part of the plant, and many infected plants do not produce pods. The *Fusarium* also infects plant and seed that can fail transplanted seedling to become established [30].

In antagonistic tests, the isolate KN19-1 showed active against the both MDR bacteria, *S. aureus* and *E. coli*. The methanolic extract of the isolate also exhibited strong activity against both pathogens with inhibition zone 17.36 ± 0.188 (*S. aureus*) and 7.35 ± 0.070 (*E. coli*) at the concentration $400 \mu\text{g}/\text{disk}$ that stronger activity where the control (chloramphenicol $100 \mu\text{g}/\text{disk}$) has inhibition zone against *S. aureus* and *E. coli* 8.94 mm and 8.52 mm , respectively. Terrestrial plant endophytic *Fusarium* sp. was reported to be active against the MRSA with MIC $16 \text{ mg}/\text{mL}$ [31]. Another research reported that *Fusarium* sp isolated from the soil did not active against the MRSA [32]. *Fusarium tricinctum* produced bromomethylchlamydospores A and B showed activity against *S. aureus* (MIC, $15.6 \mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$) [33]. Therefore, the isolate can be developed as a source of the new anti-MDR microbial drug. Our future project will be on searching of large scale production methods using the most economical material.

CONCLUSION

Fusarium solani, a terrestrial origin fungus, was found associated with the sponge *Agelas* sp. The isolate has the potential as a new source of compounds with anti-MDRS. *Aureus* and *E. coli* properties.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We thanks Marine Diving Club-UNDIP members for their assistance during sample collection. This work was supported by The Ministry of Research, Technology, and Higher Education through Post Graduate Scheme Research Grant with contract number: 139-01/UN7.5.1/PG/ 2015.

REFERENCES

- [1] Eid ES, Abo-Elmatty DM, Hanora A, Mesbah NM, and Abou-El-Ela SH. *J Pharm Biomed Anal* 2011; 56(5): 911–915.
- [2] Wang JT, Chen Y-Y, Meng P-J, Sune Y-H, Hsu C-M, Wei K-Y, and Chen CA. *Zool Studies* 2012; 51(2) 150–159.
- [3] Jang J, Van Soest RWM, Fusetani N, and Matsunaga S. *J Org Chem* 2007; 2: 57–61.
- [4] Trianto A, de Voogd NJ, and Tanaka J. *J Asian Nat Prod Res* 2014; 16 (2): 163–168.
- [5] Qaralleh H, Idid S, Saad S, Susanti D, Taher M, and Khleifat K. *J Mycol Médicale / J Med Mycol* 2010; 20 (4): 315–320.
- [6] Sik W, Ki H, Young K, Am S, Soo Y, and Hee I. *FEBS LETTER* 2006; 580: 1490–1496.
- [7] Yang L and Andersen RJ. *J Nat Prod* 2002; 65(12): 1924–1926.
- [8] Fattorusso C, Persico M, Basilio N, Taramelli D, Fattorusso E, Scala F, Orazio Tagliatela-Scafati O. *Bioorganic Med Chem* 2011; 19 (1): 312–320.
- [9] Mayer AMS. *Trends Pharmacol Sci* 2010; 31 (6): 255–265.
- [10] Hadas E, Shpigel M, and Ilan M. *Aquaculture* 2005; 244(1–4): 159–169.
- [11] Dunetz JR, Julian LD, Newcom JS, Roush WR, and Florida S. *J Am Chem Soc* 2008; 16407–16416.
- [12] Li Z-Y, He L-M, Wu J, and Jiang Q. *J Exp Mar Bio Ecol*, 2006; 329(1): 75–85.
- [13] Hochmuth T and Piel J. *Phytochemistry* 2009; 70(15): 1841–1849.
- [14] Dunlap WC, Christopher N. *Battershill CN, Catherine H. Liptrot CH, Rosemary E. Cobb RE, Bourne DG, Jaspars M, Long PF, Newman DJ. Methods* 2007; 42(4): 358–376.
- [15] Li Q and Wang G. *Microbiol Res*, 2009; 164 (2): 233–241
- [16] Subramani R, Kumar R, Prasad P, Aalbersberg W and Retheesh ST. *J Tropical Biomed* 2013; 3(4): 291–296.
- [17] Terkina IA, Parfenova VV, and Ahn TS. *2006 Appl Biochem Microbiol* 2006; 42: 173–176.
- [18] Hooper JNA. *Sponge Guide: Guide to Sponge Collection and Identification Queensland Museum*. 2000. <http://www.qmuseum.qld.gov.au/naturewelcome>
- [19] Trianto A, Hermawan I, de Voogd NJ and Tanaka J. *Chem Pharm Bull* 2011; 59(10): 1311–1313.
- [20] Seifert K. (1996) *Fuskey: Fusarium Interactive Key Her Majesty The Queen in Right of Canada, Agriculture, and Agri-food Canada* 65 pages
- [21] Walsh PS, Metzger DA, and Higuchi R. *BioTechniques* 1991; 10: 506-513.
- [22] Handayono D and Rudiretna A. *Unitas* 2000; 9 (1): 17-29.
- [23] Wittriansyah K, Trianto A, Widyaningsih S, Radjasa OK, and Pribadi R. *IJMS*. 2016; 21(4): 197–202.
- [24] Sim CJ and Kim YA. *Anim. Syst. Evol. Divers.* 2014; 30(3) : 196–205.
- [25] Nakao Y and Fusetani N. *J Nat Prod* 2007; 70 (4): 689–710.
- [26] Hertiani T, Edrada-ebel R, Ortlepp S, Soest RWM, Van Voogd NJ, De Wray V, and Proksch P. *Bioorg Med Chem* 2010; 18(3): 1297–1311.
- [27] Tilvi SS, Moriou C, Martin M-T, Gallard J-F, Sorres J, Patel K, Petek S, Debitus C, Ermolenko L, and Al-Mourabit A. *J Nat Prod* 2010; 73(4): 720–723.
- [28] Jones AC, Blum JE and Pawlik JR. *J Exp Mar Biol Ecol* 2005; 67–81.
- [29] Sever Z, Ivić D, Kos T and Miličević T. *Croatia Arhiv Za Higijenu Rada I Toksikologiju* 2012; 63(4): 463–470.
- [30] Thomas R. Gordon a, *, Cassandra L. Swett b, Michael J. Wingfield. *Crop Protection* 2015; 73: 28-39.
- [31] Ratnaweera PB, de Silva ED, Williams DE, and Andersen RJ. *BMC Complementary and Alternative Medicine* 2015; 15-20.
- [32] Binomar M, Al Qumaizi KI, Al Shaqha WM, Khan FI, Bobbitt K, and Anwer R. *J Pure Appl Microbiol* 2015; 9: 77-86.
- [33] Xu L, Meng W, Cao C, Wang J, Shan W, and Wang Q. *Mar Drugs* 2015; 13: 3479-3513.